## EXHIBIT B

```
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                     SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 4
 5
    In re CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
                                       Master Case No.
    ANTITRUST LITIGATION
                                       3:07-cv-05944-SC
 6
                                       MDL No. 1917
                                       Individual Case No.
 7
                                       3:13-cv-01173-SC;
                                       3:13-cv-02776-SC
 8
    This Document Relates to:
 9
    ALL ACTIONS
10
11
12
13
                      HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
14
15
                             VOLUME 2
16
               Videotaped Deposition of TOSHIHITO
17
         NAKANISHI, taken on behalf of the Defendants,
          at 501 West Broadway, 19th Floor, San Diego,
18
          California, commencing at 9:35 a.m., Wednesday,
19
20
          July 30, 2014, before Cheryl Sletta, CSR No.
          7354, RPR.
21
22
23
24
25
                                     173
```

07:17	1	technical difficulties. How does the video look?
	2	Is it okay? Okay.
	3	You also testified that you had no reason
	4	to believe, in or around 2002, that any of the CRT
07:17	5	suppliers from whom you were purchasing were
	6	conspiring; is that correct?
	7	MR. HEMLOCK: Objection to form.
	8	THE WITNESS: Correct.
	9	BY MR. BENSON:
07:18	10	Q Why wouldn't this language in the column
	11	associated with 25V tubes have caused you to believe
	12	that SDI and LPD might possibly have been conspiring
	13	in June of 2002?
	14	MR. HEMLOCK: Objection to form.
07:19	15	MS. KOBORI: Objection to form.
	16	THE WITNESS: Well, here, it's true, at
	17	25V, where you can see "\$83," where it's circled,
	18	and the term is "landed in FOB San Diego." So that
	19	means that in fact, in reality, it would be a
07:20	20	different price.
	21	As I said this morning, if it was FOB San
	22	Diego, there will be a cost associated with bringing
	23	from San Diego to Mexico. So my understanding is
	24	that the actual cost would be different. I believe
07:20	25	that would have been my understanding. And so I

```
07:20
     1
         believe that it was -- it was the Japan side that --
     2
         who used the term "conspiracy."
     3
                   DEFENDANTS' CHECK INTERPRETER: Bid
         rigging.
     4
07:21
     5
                   THE INTERPRETER: Huh?
     6
                   DEFENDANTS' CHECK INTERPRETER:
                                                    Bid
     7
         rigging.
     8
                   THE INTERPRETER: Yeah, price. Price --
                   DEFENDANTS' CHECK INTERPRETER: Fixing.
     9
07:21 10
                   THE INTERPRETER: -- rigging or fixing.
    11
                   THE WITNESS: But such term was used, but
    12
        people in Japan do not know the situation with the
        border, for example. They have no knowledge
    13
    14
         regarding how things go back and forth between San
07:21 15
        Diego and Mexico. So just because the price just
    16
        happened to be $83, the same $83, they came up with
    17
        this comment. I think that's what I think.
        BY MR. BENSON:
    18
    19
                   Was there anything that happened following
              Q
07:22 20
        your review of this document -- well, let me ask
    21
        another question.
    22
                   Was there anything that happened in or
        after June of 2002 that caused you to believe that
    23
    24
        Samsung or LGPD were conspiring?
07:22 25
                   MS. KOBORI: Objection. Vaque.
```

07:22	1	THE WITNESS: No, nothing in particular.
	2	BY MR. BENSON:
	3	Q After you received this document, did you
	4	continue to negotiate with both Samsung and LGPD for
07:22	5	purchases of CPT tubes?
	6	A Yes, I did.
	7	Q And did anything about their conduct in
	8	those negotiations cause you to believe that they
	9	may have been conspiring?
07:23	10	A No, nothing in particular.
	11	Q And did anything about the results of
	12	those negotiations cause you to believe that they
	13	may have been conspiring?
	14	A No, nothing in particular.
07:23	15	Q I'd like to ask you a little bit about the
	16	role
	17	MR. HEMLOCK: Sorry, I had an objection in
	18	there. Forgive me if I didn't say it loud enough,
	19	but I objected to his last question.
07:24	20	Just: Objection. Form, please. Thank
	21	you.
	22	BY MR. BENSON:
	23	Q I'd like to ask you a little bit about
	24	well, let me you commented earlier in response to
07:24	25	certain questions that one of the pieces of